

Human Resource Management (HRM) Challenges in Managing Virtual Teams across Borders for UK Multinational Businesses

Author:

Ruth Ojajorotu

Affiliation:

Ulster University,
Birmingham

Dates:

Received: 2024-01-11

Accepted: 2024-01-24

Published: 30/01/2024

Citation:

Ojajorotu, R. (2024).
Human Resource
Management (HRM)
Challenges in Managing
Virtual Teams across
Borders for UK
Multinational Businesses.
*Nigerian Journal of
Sustainability Research*,
2(1), 86-100.
[https://njsr.com.ng/index.p
hp/home/article/view/20](https://njsr.com.ng/index.php/home/article/view/20)

Abstract

The proliferation of virtual teams in UK multinational businesses has fundamentally transformed human resource management practices. This research examined the key challenges faced by HRM professionals when managing geographically dispersed, culturally diverse virtual teams. Through analysis of existing literature and industry practices, this study identified five primary challenge areas: cultural integration and communication barriers, technology infrastructure and digital literacy gaps, performance management and accountability systems, employee engagement and retention strategies, and legal compliance across multiple jurisdictions. The findings revealed that while virtual teams offer significant operational advantages, they present complex HRM challenges that require innovative solutions and adaptive management approaches. This paper provides practical recommendations for HR practitioners and senior management in UK multinational organizations to enhance virtual team effectiveness and overcome cross-border management obstacles.

Keywords: Virtual teams, HRM challenges, multinational business, cross-border management, cultural diversity, digital transformation

1. Introduction

Management across boundaries is particularly challenging to British multinational companies mainly due to cultural differences, barriers in communication and technological power. Cultural differences can result in conflict and misunderstandings because team members would react differently towards behaviour and styles of communication (Caligiuri et al., 2022). HRM policies therefore must adapt to control the differences effectively (Adamovic, 2018).

Communication barriers, i.e., language and communication tradition, continue to make interworking challenging, but required team performance (Jimenez et al., 2017). Technology is two-faced in its role, providing connection tools but sometimes making miscommunication more challenging due to the absence of non-verbal communications (Gibbs & Boyraz, 2014). Therefore, for British multinationals, custom-designed HRM practices need to be adopted that enhance such challenges with inclusiveness culture and ongoing feedback to support effective virtual teamwork (Adamovic, 2018; Caligiuri et al., 2022).

Virtual teams have now become the focus of modern MNEs in the wake of rapid computerization in business activities. The push has been the COVID-19 pandemic; meanwhile, multinational UK companies have been increasingly employing virtual teams not only to gain access to international pools of skills but also to cut down on operating costs and gain worldwide competitive advantage (Gilson et al., 2015). But this transition to virtual working has posed historically unprecedented challenges to HRM practitioners to navigate the complexities of coordinating geographically dispersed, culturally diverse teams working across different time zones and regulatory jurisdictions.

Virtual teams, i.e., a set of people working interdependently towards common ends but geographically separated and whose communication is largely reliant on technology (Martins et al., 2004), are nowadays at the centre of the business model of UK multinational companies. The very distinctive features of such teams are transnational in nature, with disparate cultures, and subject to different jurisdictions of law pose a multi-dimensional challenge to HRM practitioners.

This study seeks to determine and explore the most important HRM concerns in the management of cross-border virtual teams in UK multinationals. Through an examination of the issues through practice and theory, this study strives to contribute to the existing knowledge on virtual team management while making practical suggestions for HR practitioners working in multinationals.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Virtual Teams in Multinational Context

Evolution of British multinational companies' virtual teams has been mostly driven by the speed of technological advancement and the requirement to work across borders. While organizations are increasingly embracing virtual collaboration, human resource management (HRM) must confront some issues to ensure effective communication and coordination among different teams. Adamovic (2018) assigned the highest priority to an employee-centric HRM approach, emphasizing the focus of matching the needs of employees and the organization to improve virtual teams' performance. Matching the needs of employees and the organization is even more necessary in the global context where members of the team may share the same culture and have irregular patterns of communication.

Furthermore, recent research has highlighted the unprecedented impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on worldwide HRM practices. Caligiuri et al. (2022) hold that addressing the challenges demands new ways of coping with virtual teams effectively, provided lessons learned during the pandemic can potentially guide cross-border cooperation strategies going forward. So also, Gibbs and Boyraz (2014) stated that HRM has a central role in the culture of an effective working environment for global teams, which necessitates leadership practices designed to tackle the subtleties of virtual communication dynamics.

Further studies would then need to study human capital resource allocation in global teams, as presented by Sollander and Shah (2024), to find out how MNE can maximize team performance in a virtual environment. With each other, these concepts raise questions about the imperative for HRM to evolve and change in coping with complexities surrounding cross-border virtual collaboration.

The theory of virtual teams has come a long way since the early 1990s. Bell and Kozlowski (2002) referred to virtual teams as an organic evolution of organizational arrangements adapting to globalization forces. For UK multinationals, virtual teams are a potential to access talent from a global pool and the need to compete in sophisticated international markets.

There has been a recent report citing the exponential growth in the application of virtual teams by UK-based MNEs. PwC (2021) Future of Work survey reports that 83% of UK-based multinational companies have grown their use of virtual teams since 2020 and 67% intend to pursue or increase virtual team activities post-pandemic.

2.2 Theoretical Frameworks for Virtual Team Management

Global virtual teams' management poses special human resources management challenges for United Kingdom multinationals, which need to be understood in depth from applicable theoretical landscapes. The global virtual team's environment continues to change and lead scholars to investigate new theoretical lenses into the outcomes of collaboration and performance (Jimenez et al., 2017). Literature highlights that successful human resource management practices must be responsive to the complexity of virtual settings, especially within the environments of varying culture and geography (Adamovic, 2018).

Covid-19 pandemic has also placed in context the applicability of information on international human resources management practice, reversing classical management practices and requiring speedy adoption to distant work environments (Caligiuri et al., 2022). As organizations manage virtual team coordination across countries, there is a need to integrate strategic management masterpieces of human resources (SHRM) that encompass local and global priorities (Patrick et al., 2023). Through the fusion of theoretical frameworks and empirical research, this revision underscores the paramount importance of achieving human resource issues of United Kingdom multinationals in optimizing virtual team

administration and in maximizing the overall organizational effectiveness in a globalized business landscape.

Several theoretical frameworks are available to explain virtual team dynamics in multinational contexts. Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions Theory continues to be most relevant in dealing with cross-cultural virtual team issues (Hofstede, 2001). The theory's focus on power distance, individualism as opposed to collectivism, and uncertainty avoidance explicitly directs members of diverse cultural backgrounds communicating with each other in virtual settings.

Media Richness Theory (Daft & Lengel, 1986) offers a foundation for interpreting the problems of communication in virtual teams in a manner that complex, ambiguous tasks require richer communication media. The theory is particularly relevant when interacting with virtual teams across borders, where cultural nuances and language differences might add still further complexity to communications.

2.3 HRM Challenges in Virtual Team Management

Virtual team management of UK multinationals raises several complex human resources management (HRM) problems when firms are operating in different cultures. Effective cross-border transfer of human resources management practices is among the important problems. Oppong (2018) realizes that multinational firms are likely to experience tensions arising from variations in local practices and the necessity of offering a better fit towards HRM strategy. This issue is even further aggravated in online settings, where communication obstacles can become much worse and cultural differences among team members.

Furthermore, the Covid-19 pandemic illustrated the need for agility and responsiveness in HRM International. Caligiuri et al. (2022) further posit that the pandemic had an impact on HRM's practices and strategies, calling for a reconsideration of the strategies to allow remote collaboration in a cross-cultural setting. This is of special interest to UK multinationals, which ought to strike a balance between the central governance and local response capability to manage teams well.

With the virtual work trend, there is also potential for strategic management of human capital across borders. Allen et al. (2015) posit that development of a global mindset is necessary to enhance the efficacy of virtual teams. This requires that HRM leaders develop inclusive policy that is sensitive to diverse cultural outlooks while keeping unity intact in a digital world.

The challenges facing UK multinational companies in coordinating virtual teams in various cultural settings require innovative solutions. Pucik et al. (2024) support the argument that addressing these global HRM concerns will be effectively crucial in securing competitiveness in a constantly evolving world.

Previous studies have focused on various forms of virtual team management problems. Dulebohn and Hoch (2017) grouped such problems as structural, technological, and human factors. However, when used in multinational environments, there are other layers involved, such as regulatory compliance, cross-cultural integration, and cross-border performance management.

3. Research Methodology

This study used a systematic literature review method in mind, taking into account peer-reviewed scholarly journal articles, industry reports, and printed case studies retrieved from 2015 to 2021. The research used databases like JSTOR, Business Source Premier, and Emerald Insight, using keywords such as "virtual teams," "HRM challenges," "multinational," "cross-border management," and "UK businesses."

In addition, the research used analysis of publicly available UK multinational company reports and HR consulting firms to offer practical relevance to theoretical findings. It also involves analysis of industry reports and organisational white papers by CIPD (Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development), Deloitte, and McKinsey & Company to maintain relevance.

4. Key HRM Challenges in Managing Virtual Teams Across Borders

4.1 Cultural Integration and Communication Barriers

Virtual team cultural diversity is one of the most difficult problems for HRM professionals in UK multinational corporations. There is no direct communication, which makes it even more important to bridge cultural miscommunication and communication gaps that could easily have been bridged in conventional co-located teams (Hinds et al., 2011).

Studies by Stahl et al. (2010) indicate that cultural distance among team members is likely to result in diminished trust, poor cooperation, and heightened conflict in virtual teams. For UK multinationals working in multicultural settings ranging from Asian markets' hierarchical arrangements to the Scandinavian countries' egalitarian style, these issues are especially pertinent.

These are the sort of cultural matters that are highlighted by language differences. Although English is the lingua franca of most UK multinationals, communication style differences, nonverbal indicators, and contextual information are normally lost in electronic communication. This has been shown to result in the misinterpretation of instructions, lower team morale, and declining performance results (Shachaf, 2008).

Time zones also make cultural integration more challenging. Synchronous communication gets increasingly complicated when team members are located across several continents, requiring use of asynchronous communication channels that cannot as effectively pick up on cultural nuances or emotional overtones.

4.2 Technology Infrastructure and Digital Literacy Gaps

The productivity of virtual teams' rests on sound technology infrastructure and the digital literacy of team members. Low-quality Internet connectivity, restricted access to high-end collaboration software, and differential technological sophistication among team members are tremendous operational hurdles for UK-based multinationals doing business in emerging markets (Kayworth & Leidner, 2000).

Digital literacy gaps become most starkly evident when the members from varying educational levels and technology backgrounds need to work together utilizing complex project management software, video conferencing tools, and collaboration software in the cloud. The HRM professionals must fill these gaps via specifically designed training programs while providing access to the associated technology tools to all equally.

Cybersecurity issues create an added layer of sophistication. Handling sensitive commercial information across multiple jurisdictions with differing regimes of data protection necessitates strong security controls that can forestall collaboration unless appropriately counterbalanced by requirements for accessibility.

4.3 Performance Management and Accountability Systems

In-place performance management systems, in the form of collocated teams under round-the-clock face-to-face supervision, are unsuitable for virtual team contexts. Monitoring productivity, measuring contribution quality in numbers, and imposition of accountability over distances pose challenges that call for the reconceptualization of methods of evaluating performance (Cascio & Montealegre, 2016).

Outcome-based performance measures become extremely relevant in virtual environments, but it is a mammoth task to develop fair and equable measurement standards across diverse cultural environments and regulatory regimes. What constitutes acceptable performance in a culture can be substantively different from what is required in another, and hence there is a need for HRM practitioners to create advanced, culture-specific performance measures.

The absence of informal interactions, which are normally prevalent in traditional office environments, complicates assessing soft skills, teamwork ability, and leadership potential. This disadvantage could impact career development opportunities and succession planning activities in multinational corporations.

4.4 Employee Engagement and Retention Strategies

Assembling greater levels of employee commitment in cross-border virtual teams poses special challenges for HRM practitioners. Physical distance and the absence of spontaneous social interaction may result in isolation, disconnection from organizational culture, and lower commitment to team goals (Golden et al., 2006).

A Gallup (2020) report shows that remote workers are 21% more likely to have issues with engagement compared to co-located workers. For British multinationals, this challenge is escalated by differing cultural norms around work-life balance, communication, and motivation.

Retention schemes need to account for differences in regional market scenarios, compensation expectations, and career advancement opportunities geographically. HRM professionals need to devise region-specific retention schemes ensuring consistency and fairness at the company level.

Developing organizational identity and culture in virtual teams takes visionary approaches to team building, knowledge sharing, and socialization that are not bound by physical location or cultures. Old-school corporate celebrations and team-building events need to be redesigned for the digital space.

4.5 Legal Compliance and Regulatory Challenges

Managing virtual teams abroad across borders places sophisticated legal and regulatory compliance obligations that diverge substantially between jurisdictions. UK multinational businesses must navigate labour laws, tax regimes, data protection obligations, and intellectual property rights in numerous countries simultaneously.

Compliance with employment law is particularly challenging when virtual team members are in countries where regulations differ from one another concerning working hours, overtime compensation, employee benefits, and firing. HRM professionals need to ensure that virtual team management practices are utilized while satisfying local employment requirements and ensuring operational efficiency and consistency within the organization.

Data privacy laws, especially after GDPR in the EU and comparable elsewhere, add more compliance requirements. Virtual teams typically involve sharing sensitive business data and personal information across borders, which needs special care when dealing with data transfer agreements, privacy policies, and security procedures.

Tax implications on employees who virtually work across borders add yet another level of complexity. Tax residency issues, withholding, and social security contributions are raised when employees work remotely from locations other than their assigned base of operations.

5. Case Study Analysis

5.1 Case Study: British Telecommunications (BT Group)

BT Group's transition to virtual team operations offers important lessons in the real challenges facing UK multinational companies. The company's "Work from Anywhere" policy, rolled out over 180 countries, identified many key challenges requiring strategic rethinking. Through the process of implementation, BT discovered that their standard video

conferencing procedures inadvertently excluded team members hailing from hierarchical cultures and who are not comfortable speaking on broad group calls, illustrating the inherent complexity of cultural adoption in virtual environments. Due to this, the company had to restructure meeting formats through smaller breakout rooms and the creation of formalized speaker slots to make inclusiveness for participation an option across all cultural avenues.

The growth also revealed huge technology gaps between BT's global operations, with huge differences in internet infrastructure producing unequal opportunities for participation among team members located at different geographies. Laying awareness that technological disparity would compromise team performance, the company invested significantly £50 million on technology infrastructure assistance particularly in employees working in emerging markets, showing the amount of money spent in providing equal access to collaborative tools in various geographical locations.

Additionally, BT's move away from time-based traditional measures of performance towards outcome measurements exposed work habits' cultural differences necessitating region-specific adjustments of their performance management strategy. The firm discovered that performance structures adapted to fit worldwide virtual teams were not adequate, as German teams wanted detailed planning of projects with defined milestones and formalized strategies, while Brazilian teams responded positively to flexible, relationship-oriented goal setting with a focus on interpersonal relationships and adaptive process planning.

5.2 Case Study: Unilever

Unilever's "Future of Work" initiative across 190 countries presented further issues of virtual team management that supported the learning that could be derived from BT's experience. Unilever's international reach revealed the over regulatory complexity that comes with multinational virtual team operations as the company faced more than 150 different sets of employment regulation when trying to put in place homogeneous virtual team policies within their worldwide operations. This regulatory disparity forced Unilever to create a harmonized matrix of requirements for compliance capable of responding to the disparate legal regimes with certain type of standardization within virtual team practices in view of the restraints caused by diverging employment legislations of the national states.

The programme also identified a serious weakness in the use of conventional employee engagement measurement strategies in virtual team settings. Unilever discovered that the engagement surveys, which had been effective for conventional office-based operations, did not illustrate the multifaceted problems and realities for virtual team members from various cultures. This finding inspired the company to undertake a comprehensive overhaul of their mode of engagement measurement, leading to the development of culturally sensitive engagement metrics that would better account for the diverse experiences of virtual team workers. Unilever similarly introduced region-specific wellness initiatives that were

sensitized to local needs and preferences of cultures, recognizing that one-size-fits-all approach to employee well-being was not sufficient to meet virtual team workers in its multinational operations.

6. Recommendations and Best Practices

6.1 Developing Cultural Intelligence

UK multinationals must invest in sophisticated cultural intelligence building for HR professionals and team managers. This must extend beyond cultural awareness training to include communication competence in virtual contexts, conflict resolution competence adapted in cross-cultural settings, and trust-building competence across cultures.

Organizations can build cultural liaison positions in virtual teams where there are good bicultural skills in people who act as a bridge between various cultural groups. Such positions can facilitate there to be few misunderstandings and effective cross-cultural working.

6.2 Technology Infrastructure Investment

Businesses must be committed to levelling the playing field with respect to access to technology for everybody in virtual teams. That means having standardized hardware, having stable Internet connectivity, and offering training for collaboration tools. Technology cost must be addressed as infrastructure, rather than as a necessity. Having duplicate communication systems ensures technical glitches will not disrupt vital virtual teamwork. Organizations must possess several communication channels and offer offline backup processes for pivotal functions.

6.3 Adaptive Performance Management Systems

Performance management systems need to be reengineered in virtual environments with an accent on measures of output while preserving cultural sensitivity. Organizational performance systems need to be created that accommodate various working styles along with culturally preferred productivity measuring methods. Virtual check-ins need to become the norm for regular feedback, varying more often with team member experience levels and culture. The check-ins need to be phrased in support and growth terms rather than just evaluation.

6.4 Enhanced Engagement Strategies

Virtual team participation demands active, conscious actions to establish bridges and stay in touch. Organisations must incorporate systematic virtual social contacts, cross-cultural mentorship programmes, and electronic collaborative areas that foster informal communication. Recognition and reward systems must be applied to virtual realms such that accomplishment is made apparent across the entire team irrespective of geography. This

implies the presence of peer recognition systems and celebrating achievement in a manner that is inclusive across cultures.

6.5 Comprehensive Legal and Compliance Framework

British multinationals must set up special legal entities with international employment law and virtual compliance units. A part of that is setting up matrices of compliance that provide standardized requirements for every operating jurisdiction. There need to be regular compliance audits to ensure virtual team practices are kept within the law as legislation continues to change in various jurisdictions. There need to be clear data governance processes outlining how information travels across borders, is kept, and protected.

Coordination with local compliance counsel in every geographic region of operation allows virtual team policies to stay abreast of evolving regulatory needs. The network of counsel can offer timely advice on developing compliance matters and regulatory trends.

7. Discussion and Implications

The research implies that the problems of HRM in cross-border virtual team management for UK multinational companies are systemic, complex, and interconnected. Cultural integration problems cannot be solved in isolation from technology infrastructure problems, and performance management problems will have the tendency to be magnified by limitations of legal compliance constraint. Interdependence of issues implies that effective virtual team management will necessitate systems-based, integrated solutions rather than fragmented solutions.

The results show that established HRM practices, where they were originally planned for co-located teams, are not transferable to virtual team contexts by design. Going virtual is more than a shift in the communication mode but an essential transformation of work organization, relationship building, and even performance appraisal. What is needed is that HRM professionals acquire new skills and implement new practices.

The study further implies the need for organizational learning and adaptation in the management of virtual teams. Organizations that deal with virtual teams possess robust learning cultures, continuously evolving practices by learning from experience. Therefore, the performance of a virtual team is not a result of best practice responses but growing organizational strengths in learning and adaptation regularly.

7.1 Theoretical Implications

This research extends earlier virtual team management theories by placing in the centre the additional complexity introduced by cross-border operations. Earlier research has focused more on technology-mediated communication challenges, but this research identified regulatory, cultural, and legal elements that introduce layers of complexity for which

specially designed theoretical frameworks are required for multinational virtual team environments.

The research indicates that Cultural Dimensions Theory must be supplemented to explain virtual environment dynamics. Cross-cultural differences that are manageable in real-life relationships can be exaggerated in virtual environments and call for novel theoretical paradigms for managing virtual cross-cultural teams.

7.2 Practical Implications

For HRM practitioners in UK multinational companies, the study offers a framework for comprehending and solving virtual team issues in a systematic manner. Instead of considering virtual team administration as an extension of regular team administration, practitioners should treat it as a stand-alone profession with professional skills and methods.

The research points to the importance of huge investment in infrastructure, training, and system development in ensuring productive virtual teamwork. Organizations that treat virtual teams as a cost-saving measure without matching investment in support infrastructure will be likely to have severe performance and engagement issues.

8. Limitations and Future Research

This study is grounded on literature review and publicly disclosed case studies, which can be less than the overall set of virtual team issues reported by companies that have not reported their experience. Future studies must be grounded on primary data collection via surveys and interviews of HRM practitioners and virtual team members in several industries and geographies.

The accelerated development of virtual team practices, especially in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, indicates that some of the research findings may be made obsolete by more advanced organizational methods for managing virtual teams as they are developed. Long-term studies lasting several years on how virtual team practices develop would create worthwhile insights into how organizational capabilities advance.

Subsequent studies must analyse the effect of new technologies, including virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and advanced collaboration systems, on the effectiveness of virtual teams and HRM issues. As these technologies become more accessible, they will likely solve some of the existing issues but maybe open others.

9. Conclusion

Management of virtual cross-border teams constitutes serious challenges for UK multinational corporations' HRM practitioners. Challenges cut across cultural integration, technology infrastructure, performance management, employee engagement, and legislative compliance. Although virtual teams bring significant advantages in the guise of access to

talent, cost effectiveness, and flexibility in operations, such advantages are brought through exceedingly sophisticated HRM strategies built to address the singular complexities of virtual cross-border working.

Virtual team effectiveness demands that organizations move beyond conventional HRM practices and acquire competencies in cultural intelligence, technology management, adaptive performance measurement, virtual engagement, and global compliance. Organizations which invest in these capacities are likely to capitalize on the full value of virtual teams without succumbing to pitfalls which undermine team effectiveness.

Studies show that virtual team management is not merely a question of using conventional management methods across electronic media but needs entire rethinking of the ways in which teams are formed, led, and serviced. It is a competitive imperative as well as a strategic imperative for UK multinationals to access global talent pools more effectively.

As virtual teams increasingly become the widespread reality of multinational business operations, HRM practitioners will have to adapt their practice, make investments in new technology and capability, and create innovative solutions to new issues. Organizations that can solve these issues will be more able to compete in a business world that is increasingly globally and digitally integrated.

Virtual team challenge interdependence needs solutions that complement several dimensions at the same time. Organizations cannot execute technology solutions independently without fulfilling needs of cultural integration, nor can they prioritize employee engagement without proper performance management in place. It needs to be addressed by combined efforts with all challenge domains along with strong organizational determination and proper resource allocation.

In the years ahead, further development of virtual team practices will most likely produce new issues as well as maybe solve some existing ones. HRM practitioners will need an ability to be flexible and update their practice regularly based on newly developing best practices, new technologies, and developments in regulating environments. Learning and fast adaptation abilities will emerge as a primary organizational competency for virtual working teams across borders.

To UK multinationals, investment to manage successfully across borders through virtual teams is high but essential. Organizations that view such investment as discretionary or try to implement virtual teams with few supportive foundations are likely to face severe performance decline, regulatory problems, and talent loss. Conversely, organizations that plan virtual team management and invest in capacity and enabling systems can capture significant competitive advantage through a window of global talent, reduced cost of operation, and enhanced organizational flexibility.

The study shows that although virtual teams present deep HRM challenges, these are not unbridgeable. With proper strategy, sufficient investment, and an attitude of continuous improvement, UK multinational companies can effectively handle the intricacies of virtual teams around the globe and reap the immense advantage these team configurations have in store for them.

References

- Adamovic, M. (2018). An employee-focused human resource management perspective for the management of global virtual teams. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 29(14), 2159-2187.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2017.1323227>
- Allen, D., Lee, Y.-t., & Reiche, S. (2015). Global work in the multinational enterprise: New avenues and challenges for strategically managing human capital across borders. *Journal of management*, 41(7), 2032-2035.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206315602217>
- Bell, B. S., & Kozlowski, S. W. (2002). A typology of virtual teams: Implications for effective leadership. *Group & Organization Management*, 27(1), 14-49.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601102027001003>
- Caligiuri, P., De Cieri, H., Minbaeva, D., Verbeke, A., & Zimmermann, A. (2022). International HRM insights for navigating the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications for future research and practice. In *Crises and disruptions in international business: How multinational enterprises respond to crises* (pp. 417-454). Springer.
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-80383-4_17
- Cascio, W. F., & Montealegre, R. (2016). How technology is changing work and organizations? *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 3(1), 349-375.
<https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062352>
- Daft, R. L., & Lengel, R. H. (1986). Organizational information requirements, media richness and structural design. *Management Science*, 32(5), 513-644.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.32.5.554>
- Dulebohn, J. H., & Hoch, J. E. (2017). Virtual teams in organizations. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(4), 569-574.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2016.12.004>
- Gallup. (2020). *State of the Global Workplace*. Gallup Press.
- Gibbs, J. L., & Boyraz, M. (2014). IHRM's role in managing global teams. In *The Routledge companion to international human resource management* (pp. 532-551). Routledge.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781315761282-35/ihrm-role-managing-global-teams-jennifer-gibbs-maggie-boyraz>

- Gilson, L. L., Maynard, M. T., Jones Young, N. C., Vartiainen, M., & Hakonen, M. (2015). Virtual teams research: 10 years, 10 themes, and 10 opportunities. *Journal of management*, 41(5), 1313-1337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314559946>
- Golden, T. D., Veiga, J. F., & Simsek, Z. (2006). Telecommuting's differential impact on work-family conflict: Is there no place like home? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(6), 1340-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.6.1340>
- Hinds, P., Liu, L., & Lyon, J. (2011). Putting the global in global work: An intercultural lens on the practice of cross-national collaboration. *Academy of Management Annals*, 5(1), 135-188. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520.2011.586108>
- Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations*. SAGE Publications. [https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=9HE-DQAAQBAJ&dq=Hofstede,+G.+\(2001\).+Culture%27s+consequences:+Comparing+values,+behaviours,+institutions+and+organizations+across+nations+\(2nd+ed.\).+Sage+Publications.&lr=&source=gb_s_navlinks_s](https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=9HE-DQAAQBAJ&dq=Hofstede,+G.+(2001).+Culture%27s+consequences:+Comparing+values,+behaviours,+institutions+and+organizations+across+nations+(2nd+ed.).+Sage+Publications.&lr=&source=gb_s_navlinks_s)
- Jimenez, A., Boehe, D. M., Taras, V., & Caprar, D. V. (2017). Working across boundaries: Current and future perspectives on global virtual teams. *Journal of International Management*, 23(4), 341-349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2017.05.001>
- Kayworth, T., & Leidner, D. (2000). The global virtual manager: A prescription for success. *European Management Journal*, 18(2), 183-194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-2373\(99\)00090-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-2373(99)00090-0)
- Martins, L. L., Gilson, L. L., & Maynard, M. T. (2004). Virtual teams: What do we know and where do we go from here? *Journal of management*, 30(6), 805-835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.05.002>
- Oppong, N. Y. (2018). Human resource management transfer challenges within multinational firms: From tension to best-fit. *Management Research Review*, 41(7), 860-877.
- Patrick, W. S., Jha, J. K., & Sharma, K. G. (2023). Strategic international human resource management (SIHRM) framework: An integrated review and directions for future research. *Journal of Global Mobility*, 11(2), 274-294. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGM-08-2022-0039>
- Pucik, V., Evans, P., Bjorkman, I., & Morris, S. (2024). *The global challenge: International human resource management*. SAGE Publications. [https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=Q9trEQAAQBAJ&dq=Pucik,+V.,+Evans,+P.,+Bjorkman,+I.,+%26+Morris,+S.+\(2010\).+The+global+challenge:+International+human+resource+management+\(2nd+ed.\).+McGraw-Hill+Education.&lr=&source=gb_s_navlinks_s](https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=Q9trEQAAQBAJ&dq=Pucik,+V.,+Evans,+P.,+Bjorkman,+I.,+%26+Morris,+S.+(2010).+The+global+challenge:+International+human+resource+management+(2nd+ed.).+McGraw-Hill+Education.&lr=&source=gb_s_navlinks_s)
- PwC. (2021). *22nd Annual Global CEO Survey: UK findings* (PricewaterhouseCoopers., Issue.
- Shachaf, P. (2008). Cultural diversity and information and communication technology impacts on global virtual teams: An exploratory study. *Information & Management*,

45(2),

131-142.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0378720608000153>

Sollander, F. H. A., & Shah, S. H. W. A. (2024). The allocation of people as Human Capital Resources across global teams within MNEs-A Multiple Case Study.

Stahl, G. K., Maznevski, M. L., Voigt, A., & Jonsen, K. (2010). Unraveling the effects of cultural diversity in teams: A meta-analysis of research on multicultural work groups. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 41(4), 690-709.

<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/jibs.2009.85>