

## **Cryptocurrency Adoption Patterns in Developing Economies: A Data-Driven Cross-Country Analysis**

### **Authors:**

<sup>1</sup>Ejiroghene Amanda

Onohwakpo,

<sup>2</sup>Ugochi Linda

Onyeonufo

### **Affiliation:**

<sup>1,2</sup> Independent

Researcher

### **Dates:**

Received: 14/08/2025

Published: 31/08/2025

### **Citation:**

Onohwakpo, E.A., &  
Onyeonufo, U.L. (2025).

Cryptocurrency Adoption  
Patterns in Developing  
Economies: A Data-  
Driven Cross-Country  
Analysis. *Nigerian Journal  
of Sustainability  
Research*, 3(1), 42-56.

[https://njsr.com.ng/index.p  
hp/home/article/view/43](https://njsr.com.ng/index.php/home/article/view/43)

### **Abstract**

*This study examined cryptocurrency adoption patterns across developing economies using comprehensive data from 2018-2021. Through analysis of 45 developing countries, we identify key determinants of crypto adoption including financial inclusion gaps, currency stability, regulatory frameworks, and technological infrastructure. Our findings revealed that countries with higher inflation rates, lower banking penetration, and more favourable regulatory environments demonstrate significantly higher cryptocurrency adoption rates. The study contributes to understanding digital finance evolution in emerging markets and provides policy implications for fostering inclusive financial systems.*

**Keywords:** cryptocurrency, developing economies, financial inclusion, digital finance, blockchain adoption

### **1. Introduction**

The application of cryptocurrencies in emerging economies offers different models based on socio-economic conditions, technological paradigms and regulatory conditions. Economic insecurity, inflation rates and narrow access to the conventional banking system are likely to drive people towards substitute financial instruments like cryptocurrencies (Rana et al., 2025). The technological environments also play a tremendous role, with nations having superior internet access and mobile connectivity observing greater utilization (Fahlander, 2022). In addition, regulatory systems also help to mold the operating environment of using cryptocurrencies; countries with favourable policies will have better adoption while countries with tough measures will help stifle it (Menda, 2024). The interrelation of such factors signifies that although the crypto potential for financial inclusion exists, it hinges on the general socio-economic context as well as on the level of technology preparedness in each country

(Mohammed et al., 2023). People should comprehend these facts to utilize cryptocurrency for economic growth in developing countries.

Global cryptocurrency markets have experienced record growth with total market capitalization amounting to over \$2 trillion in 2021. Although early on, adoption was among developed economies, emerging economies have increasingly been involved in the adoption of cryptocurrencies as an alternative to conventional financial systems. This is not speculative investment; it is an indicator of fundamental reactions to economic uncertainty, financial exclusion, and the opportunity for technology leapfrogging.

Emerging economies face unique challenges like volatile national currencies, weak banking infrastructure, stringent capital controls, and high unbanked populations. Cryptocurrencies can address such problems by providing low-cost, border-less, and inflation-proof financial instruments. But patterns of adoption vary significantly between nations, which means domestic economic, regulatory, and technological environments decide major drivers.

This research responds to three primary research questions: (1) What is motivating the adoption of cryptocurrency in developing markets? (2) What does adoption by region and income group look like? (3) What policy issues are triggered by observed adoption patterns?

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1 Cryptocurrency Adoption Drivers**

Application of cryptocurrency in the developing economies has been of great interest, with various factors determining the speed of absorption. Economic stability, access to technology and institutional brushstrokes are essential in the determination of the underlying disparity in the adoption of cryptocurrency. Rana et al. (2025) ascertain that economic factors like the increase in GDP as well as inflation rates are significantly correlated with the use of the cryptocurrency and demonstrate that more stable economic conditions lead to increased levels of adoption. Furthermore, Guo et al. (2025) posit that social constructs like trust among communities in financial frameworks as well as perceived utility by the cryptocurrency have significant impacts on its usage in everyday transactions.

Technological access is also a key determining factor. Shiva and Kavitha (2025) indicate that internet infrastructure access and smartphone penetration are determinants to enable the use of cryptocurrency. Their findings show that nations with leading technological paintings are more likely to be potentially high in cryptocurrency elasticity, demonstrating responsiveness to market changes. Furthermore, Mohammed et al. (2023) described the potential of the blockchain technology and central bank digital currencies, such as they could enhance financial inclusion in developing economies through stable and regulated form of cryptocurrencies. Overall, research indicate multi-dimensioned drivers of the adoption of the cryptocurrency in promoting the development regions.

Prior work mentioned a few important drivers for cryptocurrency adoption. Yermack (2024) points to currency volatility as a main enabler, especially in nations that have been hit by hyperinflation. Baur et al. (2018) illustrate correspondences between alternative banking

system vulnerabilities and cryptocurrency adoption. Ozili (2023) more recently identifies the presence of financial inclusion gaps as a driver for the adoption of alternative currencies.

## **2.2 Developing Economy Contexts**

The cryptocurrency adoption patterns of developing nation economies are largely influenced by a convergence of social, economic, and technological drivers. Menda (2024) refers to the market disruptor nature of cryptocurrencies and how adoption can expand the capitalization of markets. This is very applicable to developing economies, in which traditional financial arrangements may be beyond reach. Rana et al. (2025) conducted a cross-country examination that identified the key determinants of cryptocurrency adoption, with economic stability and infrastructure as key. Social context such as public opinion and trust in digital currencies is also an essential determinant of adoption rates. Mohammed et al. (2023) described the impact of blockchain technology on central bank digital currencies to propose that advances in financial technology can facilitate the increased use of cryptocurrencies through enhanced government endorsement and consumer trust. Moreover, the price elasticity of bitcoin, researched by Shiva and Kavitha (2025), validates that economic conditions have a significant bearing on cryptocurrency demand between nations, particularly in the developing world. The interactive behaviour of the variables creates a complex environment within which economic, social and technological variables involving all merge to define the trend of cryptocurrency adoption between developing economies.

Emerging economies offer peculiar adoption contexts. Small banking infrastructure offers room for leapfrogging finance, as observed by Demirgüç-Kunt (2018) in mobile money adoption. Volatility of the currency offers further motivation, with Gandal and Halaburda (2016) showcasing heightened interest in Bitcoin in times of currency crises.

## **2.3 Regulatory Frameworks**

Regulatory milieus controlling cryptocurrencies have a central role to play in structuring the patterns of adoption in emerging markets. A recent literature explores the relationship between regulation and financial innovation, descriptively outlining challenges and possibilities. Kingsly (2025) is of the view that the absence of cohering enforcement of regulation threatens to debilitate prospects of benefit from cryptocurrencies, especially in less developed economies that have characteristically weak financial infrastructures. On the other hand, effective regulation can create an environment that is favourable to innovation and allow these economies to overcome traditional financial restraints.

Other than that, Lin (2025) puts forward the concept of new threats to cryptocurrencies, specifically that of organized fraud, which can be heightened in underregulated areas. Thus, the establishment of adequate regulatory frameworks is central to eliminating such threats as well as enabling adoption. In African environments, Umeokwobi (2025) puts forward asset class volatility as one major obstacle to the inclusion of cryptocurrencies, with regulatory clarity stabilizing this thriving industry.

John (2025) believes that AI anti-money laundering (AML) platforms represent a promising path towards enhancing emerging markets' regulatory systems. Through the application of AI, the developing economies can enhance compliance and risk management, which ends up turning to the use of softer cryptocurrencies. This literature review identified the utmost relevance of specially developed regulation strategies in trying to harvest the utmost potential of cryptocurrencies in instigating financial innovation.

Regulatory strategies have direct effects on adoption trends. Regulatory reactions are classified by Houben and Snyers (2018) from prohibition to proactive promotion, with highly stark variation in the context of emerging economies. Blandin et al. (2019) claim that regulatory clarity instead of permissiveness is associated with greater adoption rates.

### **3. Methodology**

#### **3.1 Data Collection**

We constructed a comprehensive dataset covering 45 developing economies from 2018-2021. Cryptocurrency adoption metrics were sourced from multiple platforms including Chain analysis, Coin Gecko, and national cryptocurrency exchanges. Economic indicators came from World Bank databases, while regulatory information was compiled from central bank publications and legal databases.

#### **3.2 Variables**

Our attention is on the Cryptocurrency Adoption Index (CAI) as the main dependent variable. The composite index aggregates various indicators to provide a general measurement of cryptocurrency adoption across nations. The CAI quantifies three general dimensions: per capita trading volume, a metric of cryptocurrency transaction depth in terms of population; per capita wallet addresses, i.e., the level of cryptocurrency possession by each country; and survey-based adoption rates from representative population surveys within various research organizations. These variables are assigned the same importance and standardized to form a normalized index between 0 and 1, and greater where there is greater adoption for cryptocurrencies.

The independent variables are a group of categories of factors assumed to drive cryptocurrency adoption trends. The economic indicators are the pillars of our framework and include GDP per capita as a proxy for overall economic development, inflation rate as an indicator of monetary stability issues, and a currency stability metric that captures exchange rate uncertainty against major world currencies. These are the macroeconomic conditions likely to influence individuals towards alternative monetary systems.

Indicators of financial inclusion account for access and penetration of traditional financial services. Banking penetration rate is a measure of how many adults have access to formal bank services, while mobile money adoption is a measure of prevalence of electronic payment systems that can be complements or substitutes for cryptocurrency usage. Indicators are used to determine if the use of cryptocurrency is a response to financial exclusion or just another financial services option.

Technological infrastructure determinants consist of internet penetration and smartphone penetration, which are preconditions for the embracement of cryptocurrencies. Both measures reflect population digital preparedness and ability to reach cryptocurrency platforms and services via digital media.

The regulatory environment is measured using a regulatory clarity index, which is graded on a scale of five with larger numbers indicating clearer and more complete cryptocurrency regulations, whether they are permissive or restrictive. This methodology acknowledges that regulatory clarity might be more relevant to adoption trends than regulatory position alone.

Lastly, demographic control factors are population density, median age, and educational levels that may affect technology adoption behaviours and risk attitude towards new financial products. These are applied to control for demographic traits that would affect the correlation between economic conditions and cryptocurrency use.

### 3.3 Analytical Approach

We used multiple regression analysis to find important drivers of adoption, while accounting for spatial and temporal heterogeneity. We also used cluster analysis to find clusters of various adoption patterns and utilized panel data analysis to examine temporal dynamics.

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Cryptocurrency adoption differs significantly across developing economies. The highest adoption is seen in Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America and is headed by Nigeria, Kenya, and Venezuela globally. Developing economies in Asia have comparatively smaller but fast-increasing adoption rates.

Range of mean CAI scores is 0.12 (lowest quartile) to 0.78 (upper quartile) on our normalized scale. Such variation is strongly correlated with various economic factors, the key amongst which are inflation rates (correlation coefficient: 0.67) and banking penetration gaps (correlation coefficient: -0.59).

### 4.2 Regression Analysis Results

Our regression analysis reveals several significant predictors of cryptocurrency adoption:

**Table 1: Determinants of Cryptocurrency Adoption**

| Variable             | Coefficient | Std. Error | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Inflation Rate       | 0.034       | 0.012      | 0.006   |
| Banking Penetration  | -0.028      | 0.008      | 0.001   |
| Internet Penetration | 0.019       | 0.007      | 0.009   |
| Regulatory Clarity   | 0.092       | 0.023      | 0.000   |
| GDP per Capita       | -0.0001     | 0.0001     | 0.234   |
| Currency Stability   | -0.045      | 0.018      | 0.013   |

Significance levels:  $p < 0.001$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ,  $p < 0.05$

The results confirm that higher inflation rates and lower banking penetration significantly increase cryptocurrency adoption. Internet penetration positively correlates with adoption, while currency instability drives increased usage. Surprisingly, GDP per capita shows no significant relationship, suggesting that adoption transcends simple income effects.

#### **4.3 Regional Patterns**

Sub-Saharan Africa is the globe's leading adopter of cryptocurrency among developing nations, with the region's mean CAI rating at 0.64. It is a by-product of the convergence of a range of factors that make the cryptocurrency highly appealing to people in Africa. Nigeria is an unusual case in point with a CAI rating of 0.89, one of the highest adoption rates globally, followed closely by Kenya with a very high CAI rating of 0.71. These very high levels of adoption are the result of large remittance inflows and substantial holes in conventional banking networks. Nigeria is using cryptocurrency as a main source of getting foreign remittances and avoiding foreign exchange controls, and Kenya's is built on the head start of mobile money platforms with such products as M-Pesa, which has a population already familiar with financial services online.

Latin America has the region's second-highest region-wide adoption rates at a mean CAI of 0.58, though this conceals widespread heterogeneity depending on differing economic conditions across countries. Venezuela stands alone in the region with a CAI of 0.85 that captures the desperate effort by the population to find alternatives to the highly devalued bolivar and capital controls that deny the population access to hard currencies. Colombia then reappears at 0.63 CAI, where there has been cryptocurrency adoption that has been driven by a mix of currency instability issues and the business demands of cross-border business and remittances. The regional trend indicates that currency crises and capital controls are strong motivators for cryptocurrency adoption, as people are willing to save value as well as preserve economic freedom.

South Asia is more moderately embracing with an average CAI of 0.42, although the region has high growth potential. India and Pakistan are the region's leading countries, powering most of the region's cryptocurrency adoption, although they have high regulatory uncertainties that present a barrier to mass adoption. The moderate levels of adoption are the result of a multifaceted interaction between rising technological capability, expanding awareness of the merits of cryptocurrency, and regulatory environments that are uncertain or even antagonistic. The dynamic between early-stage demand and resistance from regulators creates typical patterns of high-growth spikes followed by short contractions in accordance with regulatory development.

Southeast Asia also experiences the most varied adoption patterns of any region, and CAI scores vary more broadly by country, between 0.23 and 0.67. The significant variation is primarily a reflection of variations in the style of regulation used by governments in the region. Governments that use more open and engaging regulation styles tend to exhibit greater levels of adoption, and governments that use opaque or close policy have less pervasive use of cryptocurrencies. The geographic variation offers a natural experiment in the

impact of regulatory environments on adoption results that illustrates the effectiveness of government policy to influence cryptocurrency markets even when underlying economic conditions otherwise would be conducive to adoption.

#### **4.4 Temporal Dynamics**

Adoption behaviour significantly altered throughout the study period. Adoption in the early period (2018-2019) was focused on countries experiencing severe currency crises. However, 2020-2021 saw pan-regional adoption within emerging markets that signified maturity into utility-led instead of crisis-led usage.

COVID-19 accelerated adoption, and mean CAI scores increased 34% in 2020. This was associated with greater digitalization and economic volatility.

#### **4.5 Cluster Analysis**

Our cluster analysis reveals four distinct patterns of cryptocurrency adoption in the 45 developing economies in our sample, each characterized by unique sets of economic, technological, and regulatory variables driving adoption behaviour.

The first of the twelve countries, which we refer to as "High Adopters," exhibit the most extreme cryptocurrency adoption rates and the intersection of several favourable circumstances. The three typical features of these countries are: chronically high inflation rates that undermine confidence in local currencies, very low bank penetration with large parts of populations having no access to conventional financial services at all, and comparatively benign regulatory frameworks that do not severely discourage the use of cryptocurrencies. Nigeria exemplifies this cluster to the tee, with hyperinflationary issues, restricted rural banking penetration, and state policy that does not necessarily support cryptocurrencies but keeps prohibitive regulation at bay. Venezuela and Kenya both exemplify the same trend, where economic despair intersects regulatory tolerance and presents conditions highly conducive to cryptocurrency adoption.

The second level, "Moderate Tech-Driven" adopters, are fifteen nations that have good but not great rates of adoption fuelled mainly by technological developments and not economic necessity. They have higher rates of penetration and greater digital infrastructure than other emerging markets, but with just moderate economic pressure that otherwise would fuel wholesale exigent adoption. India is a good example, where extensive smartphone penetration and growing internet connectivity render cryptocurrency usable even when the country's banking system is healthy and inflation is moderate. Brazil and Thailand are likewise such where this pattern holds, with technology readiness permitting experimentation and slow take-up of cryptocurrency but economic stability providing for take-up being more of a voluntary action than a need.

The third cluster, "Regulatory Restrictive" nations, holds perhaps the most fascinating paradox in our research. These ten nations have lesser adoption rates despite economic conditions that in theory would propel high levels of crypto use, largely because of unfavourable regulatory environments that actively discourage or ban crypto use. Bangladesh

is a case in which banking and currency distress that would otherwise register as high cryptocurrency adoption is dulled by government restrictions and enforcement. Egypt and Morocco provide similar cases of regulatory resistance tempering economic incentives so that prospective adopters are subject to legal risk that exceeds financial reward, leading to suppressed adoption despite conducive fundamentals.

The last cluster, "Emerging Adopters," is comprised of eight nations with recent increases in cryptocurrency adoption fuelled mainly by the quickly developing technological infrastructures and changing regulatory circumstances. They possess low historical levels of adoption but strong growth trends as circumstances become increasingly more conducive. Ghana is a great example of this trend, where increasing internet penetration and changing government sentiments towards digital currencies have facilitated an environment of increasing experimentation with cryptocurrencies. The Philippines and Vietnam also fall into this rising trend, with infrastructure upgrades and regulatory certainty having started to realize previously limiting adoption potential and possibly driving these nations up into the upper classes of adoption in the years to come.

## **5. Discussion**

### **5.1 Key Findings**

Our data confirms that cryptocurrency adoption in emerging economies is primarily explained by the fulfilment of financial system needs rather than speculative motives. The very high negative relationship between financial penetration and crypto adoption confirms substitution effects since cryptocurrencies fill the gap in financial inclusion.

The positive relationship between regulatory transparency and adoption contradicts expectations that tight regulation will always reduce usage. Instead, open regulatory regimes; whether permissive or restrictive are more important than regulatory orientation itself.

### **5.2 Economic Implications**

Excessive crypto adoption in emerging markets is a sign of systemic financial pressures but also possibilities. El Salvador and the Central African Republic have become the first nations to adopt Bitcoin as a legal tender, two paradigmatic examples of formal crypto incorporation. But even at its fast pace, rapid adoption has monetary policy issues. High-adoption countries' central banks lament the inability to keep currencies stable and conduct normal monetary policy.

### **5.3 Technological Leapfrogging**

There is proof that cryptocurrency adoption mechanisms are defined by leapfrogging patterns just like mobile money and mobile phone. Countries with minimal conventional banking systems have improved crypto adoption than countries with well-developed financial systems.

This leapfrogging opportunity is most evident in rural and underbanked communities, where access to cryptocurrency through mobile phones offers access to previously unattainable financial products.

### **6.1 Regulatory Framework Development**

Our evidence indicates that regulatory certainty has a significant impact on cryptocurrency adoption processes and that policymakers ought to be eager to create holistic cryptocurrency regimes instead of maintaining regulatory uncertainty. The data indicate that nations with established regulation, either permissive or restrictive, have stronger and more reliable adoption processes compared to those operating in uncertain policy environments. The principle is illustrated through comparison examples like Switzerland, where permissive but explicit cryptocurrency rules have been established, and China, with restrictive but equally explicit bans. Both nations exhibit more stable and predictable cryptocurrency market patterns than nations where regulatory uncertainty creates unstable and volatile consumption trends.

Good regulatory systems can only be developed starting from giving cryptocurrencies, and all associated activities in the financial system, clear legal status. This starts with the definition of cryptocurrencies in the current legal framework, demystifying the way they are treated under securities, commodities, and currency laws, and having clear language on the activities both organizations and individuals are allowed to pursue. It does away with the legal uncertainty that discourages users and service providers from participating in cryptocurrency markets, providing a firmer basis for the growth of markets.

Of equal significance is enforcement of balanced consumer protection rules that protect consumers without unduly inhibiting innovation in the cryptocurrencies market. Such rules must responsibly address concerns relating to fraud, market manipulation, and consumer vulnerabilities without imposing overly stringent obligations that would discourage legitimate innovation or push cryptocurrency activity underground. Effective consumer protection measures must find a balance between the need to protect consumers from real risks and the recognition that excessive regulation can undermine the very benefits that make cryptocurrencies attractive alternatives to the traditional financial system.

Another critical element of effective cryptocurrency regulation is establishing regulatory sandboxes, which offer sandboxed environments where they can operate less-regulated cryptocurrency firms as regulators observe their behaviour and measure risks. Sandboxes enable regulators to learn first-hand about operating cryptocurrencies while allowing innovative companies to test new products and services without incurring the full weight of complete regulatory adherence. This approach facilitates intelligence-driven regulatory development from actual market experience and not from theoretical problems.

Lastly, convergence to upsurging global standards is necessary to avert regulatory arbitrage and guarantee that national systems support instead of destabilizing global cryptocurrency market stability. Since cryptocurrency markets cross borders, disparate regulatory approaches provide room for regulatory arbitrage, which subsequently destabilizes all national systems.

Transition economies need to participate actively in international standard-setting organizations and to coordinate their regulatory strategies with neighbours to develop consistent and mutually complementary regulatory systems that support legitimate cryptocurrency activity without excluding legitimate uses.

## **6.2 Financial Inclusion Strategies**

The robust negative correlation among banking penetration and cryptocurrency uptake uncovered in our analysis implies that filling core financial inclusion gaps may well decelerate cryptocurrency uptake born out of need over legitimate preference for alternative financial systems. This correlation suggests that large amounts of reported crypto adoption in the developing world are a reaction to hardship due to poor-quality non-financial traditional services and not a willing embracing of new technology, leaving policymakers with the possibility to shape patterns of adoption by well-considered financial inclusion initiatives.

The application of conventional banking comforts in under-penetrated markets is the most straightforward method of redressing financial exclusion leading to adoption due to need utilizing cryptocurrency. This expansion needs to be especially focused on rural and distant communities where physical banking presence is non-existent because such communities will likely have the highest percentages of cryptocurrency adoption in accordance with absence of alternatives. However, infrastructure growth needs to be affordable and responsive to local requirements, considering that conventional physical brick-and-mortar banking may not always be the cheapest mode of servicing scattered populations.

Encouraging investment in competing digital banking products that indirectly compete with cryptocurrencies provides a less invasive channel of financial inclusion that is respectful of the valid benefits of digital financial services but still presents regulated alternatives. Solutions must rely on existing infrastructure, especially the mobile phone network, to offer bank-level convenience and access to banking services but with consumer protection and regulation of the legacy banking industry. The challenge is to create digital banking products meeting the same fundamental demand that is propelling the adoption of cryptocurrencies but within the context of existing regulatory frameworks.

Combining cryptocurrency services with existing mobile money infrastructure is a very attractive approach in countries where there has already been deep penetration of mobile money. This solution depends on incrementally expanding the technology and behaviour of quality mobile money systems with cryptocurrency capability in controlled and regulated forms. This bridge enables users to tap into the benefits of cryptocurrency while being connected to regulated financial systems, covering existing formal and informal financial services space instead of requiring users to opt between alternative systems.

The development of controlled central bank digital currencies as alternatives to private cryptocurrencies represents a possibility for governments to capture much of the value driving cryptocurrency uptake without giving up monetary sovereignty and control. CBDCs can provide the digital convenience, lowered cost of transactions, and technological simplicity driving cryptocurrencies forward while preserving the stability, consumer

protection, and control provided by government-backed money. It is a strategy that permits policymakers to address the forces behind use of cryptocurrency in an official capacity as opposed to only trying to quash demand for alternative financial systems.

These policies of financial inclusion are best implemented as sets of integrated policies and not as stand-alone initiatives. The intent needs to be to design financial ecosystems that offer users genuine choice between regulated and unregulated options so that the use of cryptocurrency will be driven by preference, and not by necessity, enabling genuine innovation in digital finance.

### **6.3 Monetary Policy Considerations**

General adoption of cryptocurrency tests to some degree the efficacy of traditional monetary policy, since general adoption of other currency can threaten the transmission channels by which central banks direct economic activity. If large segments of the population use cryptocurrencies for transactions and as a store of value in lieu of domestic currency, standard monetary policy instruments are less effective in influencing inflation, employment, and economic expansion. High-adoption central banks must reconsider their very monetary policy implementation approach and come up with new strategies that consider parallel currency systems.

The first concern for central banks that are seeing high cryptocurrency adoption is broad-scale observation of the way cryptocurrency usage impacts traditional monetary transmission mechanisms. This monitoring entails developing new frameworks for gathering data that can track cryptocurrency flows and their relationship with mainstream economic indicators so that central banks can measure how their policy interventions play out in cryptocurrency markets. Such monitoring mechanisms need to track direct effects, i.e., the way that interest rate adjustments impact the relative appeal of domestic currencies versus cryptocurrencies, and indirect effects, i.e., the way that cryptocurrency uptake influences the contribution of the banking system to credit generation and monetary transmission.

Central banks will also need to redefine their monetary policy instruments to take into consideration cryptocurrency substitution effects that can undermine the effectiveness of traditional policy interventions. When populations are not captive to interchange between domestic money and cryptocurrencies, conventional instruments such as reserve requirements, discount rates, and open market operations can be less robust or more volatile in their impact than in settings where currency use is captive. The accommodation may be the creation of new policy instruments specifically targeted at cryptocurrency markets or the adjustment of conventional instruments, so they treat alternative currencies as part of the monetary framework.

The exploration of introducing central bank digital currency is probably the most important choice for central banks in the aftermath of high cryptocurrency uptake. CBDCs have the possibility to leverage the technological benefits that make cryptocurrency attractive and yet maintain the stability and controls that come with fiat money. Through the provision of a

central bank digital alternative as convenient and accessible as private cryptos, central banks can reclaim part of the monetary influence lost to widespread cryptocurrency adoption.

Successful monetary policy in high-adoption areas of cryptocurrency also involves close interaction with fiscal authorities to create sophisticated taxation and compliance frameworks that accommodate cryptocurrency flows. Without such coordination, fiscal and monetary policy can become mutually discouraging, with monetary authorities seeking to affect behaviour through one incentive system and tax policies that impose countering incentives. Such coordination will have to extend beyond tax treatment of cryptocurrency transactions, however, to cover more general issues concerning the effect of the use of cryptocurrencies upon government tax receipts as well as the effectiveness of fiscal policy.

The final objective of these monetary policy actions should be to preserve the capability of central banks to execute their core tasks of financial system soundness and price stability in the context of the reality that the monetary sphere has irreversibly transformed in high-cryptocurrency utilization settings. Instead of attempting to try to keep cryptocurrency aside, effective monetary policy actions must seek means of working with and through cryptocurrency markets to attain conventional policy aims.

## **7. Future Research Directions**

A few potential research directions follow from our analysis that have the potential to substantially illuminate cryptocurrency adoption in developing economies. The most pressing need is to carry out micro-level adoption studies that study individual and household cryptocurrency use patterns with finer detail than our country-level analysis can provide. This kind of research would rationalize the exact conditions, incentives, and choice mechanisms motivating individuals to use cryptocurrencies, shedding light on how macroeconomic conditions translate into individual financial decision. Such micro-level research could uncover insightful heterogeneity across nations, determining the population segments accountable for aggregate adoption trends and how various ages intersect demographic, geographic, and socioeconomic categories.

Of greatest interest are intense deep impact studies estimating the impact of cryptocurrency adoption on established financial systems in emerging economies. Although our research detects correlations between economic indicators and cryptocurrency adoption, causal factors and broader implications for the financial system are not established. Future research must consider how cryptocurrency adoption influences profitability within the banking sector, credit creation, transmission of monetary policy, and financial system stability in general. These estimates can yield useful evidence for policymakers considering the advantages and disadvantages of various regulations targeting cryptocurrency markets.

Longitudinal analysis of adoption trends over more extended time frames is a second research priority area, since the four-year scope of our study is merely observing the initial phases of what could be a profound shift in international monetary orders. Long-term longitudinal analysis can show whether today's patterns of adoption are temporary reactions to idiosyncratic economic circumstances or longer-term trends toward other monetary regimes.

Such an analysis would be especially useful in the explanation of why adoption patterns change as nations grow economically, have better traditional financial systems, or witness their regulatory environments to shift.

An examination of cross-border transaction flows is probably the technically most demanding but potentially most enlightening research avenue, as it might quantify the actual contribution of cryptocurrency to world remittances and flows of capital. Existing knowledge of the role of cryptocurrency in the global economy relies heavily on anecdotal evidence and sparse case studies, but careful analysis of blockchain data might yield unparalleled insights into global money flows. Such studies would uncover the way cryptocurrencies are replacing or complementing traditional international financial services, with significant implications for large-scale cryptocurrency adoption prospects and risks.

These emerging research agendas are best articulated under intensive international research programs that can soak up the international and comparative contexts of cryptocurrency use and offer the detailed local information required for effective policymaking. Cryptocurrency markets and regulatory policy evolve at a speed that requires instant, high-quality research as the basis for policy choices with the potential to frame the future of international finance.

## **8. Conclusion**

This cross-national study of cryptocurrency uptake in the emerging world uncovers intricate patterns influenced by economic necessity, technological feasibility, and regulatory environment interactions. Our results deconstruct more optimistic accounts of cryptocurrency uptake, revealing how inclusive finance gaps and currency stability issues; instead of speculation incentives propel adoption in the emerging world.

The available evidence indicates that cryptocurrency take-up in developing economies is challenge and opportunity. While speedy take-up complicates money policy and raises regulatory issues, it also brings financial inclusion to the hitherto excluded groups and is adaptive innovation to systemic economic problems.

Policymakers must navigate difficult choices between inducing innovation and inducing stability. Our results indicate that transparent regulatory regimes, permissive or otherwise, induce more tranquil patterns of adoption than uncertainty about regulation. Filling financial inclusion gaps at the bottom could also decrease necessity-driven adoption of cryptocurrency while allowing choice-driven adoption.

As the world financial system continues to evolve, information on cryptocurrency adoption patterns in developing economies is increasingly significant. Developing economies are prone to serve as testing grounds for financial innovations and inferences can be made about global financial system expansion.

The cryptocurrency revolution in the developing world is only beginning. Additional research, responsive policymaking, and cross-border collaboration will be critical to unlocking the potential of cryptocurrency while minimizing risks associated with them.

Trends uncovered in this study offer a basis for continued analysis and policy testing in this fast-moving terrain.

## References

- Baur, D. G., Hong, K., & Lee, A. D. (2018). Bitcoin: Medium of exchange or speculative assets? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 54, 177-189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2017.12.004>
- Blandin, A., Cloots, A. S., Hussain, H., Rauchs, M., Saleuddin, R., Allen, J. G., Zhang, B. Z., & Cloud, K. (2019). Global cryptoasset regulatory landscape study. *University of Cambridge Faculty of Law Research Paper*(23). file:///C:/Users/barna/Downloads/ssrn-3379219.pdf
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. . (2018). *The global finindex database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution*. World Bank Publications.
- Fahlander, F. (2022). *Cryptocurrencies, remittances and exchange rates: A quantitative study on the rapid growth of crypto adoption in developing countries* [Uppsala University]. Disciplinary Domain of Humanities and Social Sciences. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1683128/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- Gandal, N., & Halaburda, H. (2016). Can we predict the winner in a market with network effects? Competition in cryptocurrency market. *Games*, 7(3), 16.
- Guo, Y., Yousef, E., & Naseer, M. M. (2025). Examining the drivers and economic and social impacts of cryptocurrency adoption. *FinTech*, 4(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fintech4010005>
- Houben, R., & Snyers, A. (2018). *Cryptocurrencies and blockchain: Legal context and implications for financial crime, money laundering and tax evasion*. IPOL | Policy Department for Economic, Scientific and Quality of Life Policies. <https://repository.uantwerpen.be/docman/irua/80a4a6/152140.pdf>
- John, B. (2025). AI-powered AML in emerging markets: Opportunities, barriers, and policy recommendations. *ResearchGate*. [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Beauden-John/publication/392397628\\_AI-Powered\\_AML\\_in\\_Emerging\\_Markets\\_Opportunities\\_Barriers\\_and\\_Policy\\_Recommendations/links/6840309ddf0e3f544f5ce834/AI-Powered-AML-in-Emerging-Markets-Opportunities-Barriers-and-Policy-Recommendations.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Beauden-John/publication/392397628_AI-Powered_AML_in_Emerging_Markets_Opportunities_Barriers_and_Policy_Recommendations/links/6840309ddf0e3f544f5ce834/AI-Powered-AML-in-Emerging-Markets-Opportunities-Barriers-and-Policy-Recommendations.pdf)
- Kingsly, K. M. (2025). Quantum finance and structural transformation of less developed countries. <https://www.linkedin.com/in/kelly-kingsley-ph-d-2a5a1416/>
- Lin, L. S. (2025). Cryptocurrencies and AI-enabled organised fraud: Emerging risks and countermeasures. The 1st International Online Conference on Risk and Financial Management, The 1st International Online Conference on Risk and Financial Management: Big Data, Artificial Intelligence, and Machine Learning in Finance.
- Menda, E. (2024). The impact of cryptocurrency adoption on stock market Capitalization: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive*, 1-11. [https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/121792/1/MPRA\\_paper\\_121792.pdf](https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/121792/1/MPRA_paper_121792.pdf)

- Mohammed, M. A., De-Pablos-Herederro, C., & Montes Botella, J. L. (2023). Exploring the factors affecting countries' adoption of blockchain-enabled central bank digital currencies. *Future Internet*, 15(10), 321. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi15100321>
- Ozili, P. K. (2023). Central bank digital currency research around the World: A review of literature. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, 26(2), 215-226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-11-2021-0126>
- Rana, H. A., Audi, M., & Ali, A. (2025). Determinants of cryptocurrency adoption: A cross-country analysis of economic, technological, and institutional factors. *Journal of Social Signs Review*, 3(08), 58-76. <https://socialsignsreview.com/index.php/12/article/view/335>
- Shiva, S. V., & Kavitha, R. (2025). Bitcoin adoption and price elasticity of demand: Cross-country insights. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12(1), 1-12. <file:///C:/Users/stanf/Downloads/s41599-025-05148-5.pdf>
- Umeokwobi, R. (2025). Asset class volatility and output gap in africa: A big data analysis. *FinTech and Sustainable Innovation*, 00(00), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.47852/bonviewFSI52024918>
- Yermack, D. (2024). Is Bitcoin a real currency? An economic appraisal. In *Handbook of digital currency* (pp. 29-40). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-98973-2.00014-9>